

# Exhibit B

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

- - - - - X

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, : 20CV473(EK)  
Plaintiff, :  
-against- : United States Courthouse  
NICHOLAS PALUMBO, et al., : Brooklyn, New York  
Defendants. : Wednesday, January 29, 2020  
: 10:00 a.m.

- - - - - X

TRANSCRIPT OF CIVIL CAUSE FOR STATUS CONFERENCE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ERIC R. KOMITEE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

A P P E A R A N C E S:

For the Government: RICHARD P. DONOGHUE, ESQ.  
United States Attorney  
Eastern District of New York  
271 Cadman Plaza East  
Brooklyn, New York 11201  
BY: DARA A. OLDS, ESQ.  
BONNI JESSICA PERLIN, ESQ.  
EVAN LESTELLE, ESQ.  
Assistant United States Attorneys

From Main Justice:

CHARLES DUNN, ESQ.  
ANN ENTWISTLE, ESQ.

Court Reporter: SOPHIE NOLAN  
225 Cadman Plaza East/Brooklyn, NY 11201  
NolanEDNY@aol.com

*Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography, transcript  
produced by Computer-Aided Transcription*

## Proceedings

9

1 that restricts the defendants from doing business with any of  
2 the companies as to which you've alleged specific fraudulent  
3 conduct?

4 MS. RALSTON: Now was that a question for me or for  
5 the attorneys?

6 THE COURT: The question about the scope of the TRO  
7 is for the attorneys, but the question about how much  
8 investigation the Government has done regarding the potential  
9 for non-fraudulent traffic on the defendant's system is for  
10 you.

11 MS. RALSTON: Okay, thank you. From the  
12 investigation so far we have been able to identify maybe one  
13 company that's called Yodel Technologies. That is one of  
14 their larger -- one of their larger customers that quite  
15 possibly is doing legitimate work. When I spoke to him he  
16 gave me his top five customers and out of the top 5, that was  
17 the only one that from our investigation could see that would  
18 have legitimate reasons for making phone calls.

19 The other four I recognized as companies that during  
20 our investigation we have identified as robocalling companies  
21 that are sending out the fraud calls.

22 THE COURT: So in light of the indication that there  
23 may be at least one either legitimate or non-fraudulent client  
24 of these defendants, my inclination is to ask the Government  
25 to draft a somewhat narrower TRO application that temporarily